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Abstract—The vicarious warm and cold calibration techniques are combined to provide an end-to-end two point calibration method for spaceborne microwave radiometers. The method uses stable external calibration sources to permit an end-to-end calibration of the complete radiometer, including its primary antenna. Both gain and offset corrections to the radiometer calibration can be computed since vicarious reference points at both the cold and warm ends of the measurement range are available. The method is demonstrated using the WindSat radiometer. Calibration errors are found which vary with azimuthal scan position in a manner that suggests that the cause is beam spoiling from on-board spacecraft obstructions. The impact on gain and offset calibration of the on-board obstructions can be determined from the vicarious calibration. This information is used to characterize the beam spoiling—specifically to determine the decrease in the antenna’s beam efficiency and the mean brightness temperature entering the far side lobes of the antenna, both as functions of azimuthal scan position. With this characterization available, a calibration correction algorithm can be constructed that is based on the root cause of the problem.

Index Terms—Calibration, microwave radiometry.

I. INTRODUCTION

If passive microwave data from multiple instruments are to be combined for use in, for example, the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission, the data must be well calibrated in both an absolute and a relative sense. That is the goal of the GPM Inter-satellite Calibration Working Group (ICWG) [1]. The work presented here is part of the ICWG effort. Both the absolute radiometric calibration of individual instruments and the relative calibration between instruments are significantly improved if the nuances and imperfections of each instrument are well understood.

The first step in the process is to understand the calibration of each individual radiometer within the ICWG constellation of radiometers. Calibration errors for each radiometer must be determined and when possible, corrections must be developed. These errors are often linearly dependent on brightness temperature, in which case they can be characterized by a gain and an offset term. These two terms can be determined by the measurement of two known brightness temperature values, preferably at the cold and the warm ends of the measurement dynamic range. This paper develops such a method, combining the vicarious cold method of Ruf [2], [3] with the vicarious warm method of Brown and Ruf [4] to provide an end-to-end (through the main reflector) two-point calibration using stable and external on-Earth targets. The method is demonstrated using WindSat data for which beam-spoiling due to on-board obstructions in the feedhorn side lobes is corrected. The method is also used to correct for differences from nominal instrument Earth incidence angles (EIA) due to roll and pitch offsets of the instrument platform.

II. TWO-POINT VICARIOUS CALIBRATION

A. WindSat Data Characteristics

Data from the WindSat instrument are used to demonstrate the method. Characteristics of the WindSat platform are shown in Table I. WindSat is in a sun-synchronous orbit, with local times at the antenna footprint near 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. [5]. Data from July 2005 to June 2006, provided as part of the GPM ICWG effort, were analyzed. Only vertical (V) and horizontal (H) polarization channels were used. Although WindSat makes observations in both the forward and backward scanning directions with respect to the orbital velocity, only forward scanning data were used and were limited to the scan positions over which all channels observe. Data were remapped to the resolution of the 18.7 GHz channel with along-scan spacing of every fourth 37.0 GHz V, H-pol observation. The data were limited in polarization and scan position, and remapped to a common spatial grid for simplicity of processing within the ICWG. The intention was to provide an initial data set for developing the intercalibration process. Limiting and remapping the dataset do not affect the validity of the processes described in this paper. The data were corrected for polarization rotation due to attitude offsets, spillover, and antenna pattern corrections, although neither scan position dependent bias correction nor EIA correction for attitude offsets were applied prior to ICWG processing. These corrections are included in the standard WindSat data but were left out so that the ICWG could develop independent corrections.
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
<th>Instantaneous Field of View (km)</th>
<th>Nominal Earth Incidence Angle (deg)</th>
<th>Incidence Angle Range Including Attitude Effects (deg)</th>
<th>Channel NEDT (Kelvins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>V, H</td>
<td>40x60</td>
<td>53.53</td>
<td>53.23-53.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>V, H, ±45, le, rc</td>
<td>25x38</td>
<td>49.91</td>
<td>49.61-50.21</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>V, H, ±45, le, rc</td>
<td>16x27</td>
<td>55.35</td>
<td>55.05-55.65</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>V, H</td>
<td>12x20</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>52.7-53.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>V, H, ±45, le, rc</td>
<td>8x13</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>52.7-53.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Mean Vicarious Cold Cal. Tb (K)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation of Cold Cal. Tb (K)</th>
<th>Mean Vicarious Warm Cal. Tb (K)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation of Warm Cal. Tb (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>151.4/75.0</td>
<td>1.2/0.3</td>
<td>279.7/278.1</td>
<td>1.4/1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>152.1/83.9</td>
<td>0.3/0.2</td>
<td>282.6/281.1</td>
<td>0.8/0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>181.7/94.2</td>
<td>1.1/1.2</td>
<td>281.1/285.6</td>
<td>1.0/1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>187.4/109.6</td>
<td>2.8/3.8</td>
<td>287.5/286.9</td>
<td>0.7/0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>204.3/128.0</td>
<td>0.9/0.6</td>
<td>284.4/283.5</td>
<td>0.8/0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Vicarious Cold Calibration

The vicarious cold calibration technique of Ruf [2] is used to provide the cold point in the two-point vicarious calibration. Data were analyzed to compute vicarious calibration brightness temperatures (Tb’s) as a function of WindSat scan position for each month. These monthly results were then averaged to compute yearly mean vicarious cold calibration Tb’s as a function of scan position. The means and standard deviations of the retrieved vicarious cold and warm calibration Tb’s for each channel are shown in Table II. The difference between the yearly mean vicarious cold calibration Tb at each scan position and the mean of the 10 scan positions around the center of scan (scan position 35) was computed to determine scan position dependent biases in the data. Results are shown in Fig. 1.

Two significant features are evident in Fig. 1: large edge-of-scan biases in the 6.8 GHz and 23.8 GHz data, and a polarization dependent slope in the cross scan bias. The edge-of-scan biases are likely due to on-board obstructions from nearby hardware such as the external warm and cold loads, which enter the respective feed-horn field of view at either end of the Earth viewing portion of the WindSat scan [6]. Section II-D examines this calibration error in greater detail.

For the scan position dependent bias slope, the magnitude is larger and opposite in sign for vertical polarization (V-pol) than for horizontal (H-pol). Over ocean brightness temperatures, and hence the vicarious cold calibration Tb’s, are sensitive to small changes in EIA [2], [3]. This sensitivity is higher and opposite in sign for V-pol than for H-pol. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2, which shows the theoretical vicarious cold calibration Tb as a function of EIA for the WindSat V and H-pol channels using the ocean emissivity model of [7].

EIA will vary from its nominal value in a systematic and predictable manner as a function of scan position for any roll or pitch attitude offsets in the instrument [8]. Combining this relationship with the model of ocean brightness temperatures
as a function of EIA from [7], the scan position dependent biases in Fig. 1 have been used to determine roll and pitch offsets that are consistent with the data. This was accomplished by finding the roll and pitch offsets that minimize the rms difference between measured and modeled $T_b$’s across the scan (excluding the problematic edge-of-scan regions). Fig. 3 shows the resulting rms difference as a function of roll and pitch offset; the difference is minimized at a roll offset of $-0.16^\circ$ and a pitch offset of $0.2^\circ$. This agrees well with the results of [9] and [10], which use similar analysis of over ocean $T_b$’s and geolocation errors to derive WindSat roll, pitch offsets of $-0.15^\circ$, $0.18^\circ$ and $-0.16^\circ$, $0.18^\circ$, respectively. Figs. 4 and 5 show the V-pol and H-pol cross scan biases with this attitude/EIA offset. The effect is much clearer for V-pol than for H-pol due to the increased sensitivity to EIA changes and the decreased residual atmospheric “noise” at V-pol relative to H-pol. H-pol $T_b$’s shows larger residual atmospheric variability due to the higher reflectivity at H-pol over ocean which leads to larger atmospheric contributions to observed $T_b$’s from reflected downwelling atmospheric radiation. The resulting scan position dependent $T_b$ biases with corrected EIAs are less than 0.4 K, except for the scan edges at 6.8 and 23.8 GHz.

C. Vicarious Warm Calibration

As with the vicarious cold calibration, the data have been analyzed using the vicarious warm calibration method of Brown and Ruf [4] using Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) data [11] to model the atmospheric contribution to the measured brightness temperatures. The albedo of the rainforest in [4] was modeled as a linear function of frequency from 18.7 GHz to 37.0 GHz; the albedo of vegetation in this frequency range is expected to increase approximately linearly with frequency. WindSat adds channels at 6.8 and 10.7 GHz; over this range, the albedo is approximated more accurately with a quadratic function of frequency (see, e.g., Fig. 3 in [12]). For the results shown here, the albedo ($a$) is modeled as

$$a = a_0 + a_1 f + a_2 f^2$$

where $f$ is the frequency in GHz. The albedo minimum is fixed at 10.7 GHz, so the quadratic term $a_2$ can be solved for, reducing the dimensionality of the retrieval space

$$a_2 = -a_1/(2 \times 10.7).$$
The vicarious warm biases for WindSat as a function of scan position relative to the center of scan are shown in Fig. 6. As with the cold data, the mean of the center 10 positions was used as the reference. Since the vicarious warm observations are made over land, the sensitivity to EIA and hence to attitude offsets are significantly reduced. However, the magnitudes of the edge-of-scan biases at the 6.8 GHz and 23.8 GHz channels are larger than the corresponding over ocean bias. As is shown in the next section, the effective radiating temperatures of the edge-of-scan obstructions are relatively low (< 95 K). The contrast between main beam $T_b$ and obstruction $T_b$ is higher for the vicarious warm $T_b$ (around 280 K—see Table II) than for the vicarious cold $T_b$'s (between 75 and 205 K depending upon channel—see Table II), resulting in a higher bias for the vicarious warm calibration $T_b$'s.

**D. Beam Spoiling Correction**

The antenna temperature $T_A$ measured by a radiometer can be written in general as

$$T_A = \int_{4\pi} T_b(\Omega')G(\Omega', \Omega)d\Omega'$$

(3)

where $T_b(\Omega')$ is the brightness temperature in the direction of the element of solid angle $\Omega'$ and $G(\Omega, \Omega')$ is the gain of the antenna in the direction $\Omega'$ for an antenna pointing in the direction $\Omega$ [13]. The antenna gain is normalized to unity

$$\int_{4\pi} G(\Omega', \Omega)d\Omega' = 1.$$ 

(4)
Fig. 6. Biases of WindSat vicarious warm calibration brightness temperatures relative to center of scan. Top and bottom plots are the same data shown with two different $T_b$ scales to show the edge-of-scan biases, and the relative scale and variability of biases for all channels, respectively. Biases are from vicarious warm calibration $T_b$'s retrieved from approximately 27,000 observations per channel. See [4] for vicarious warm calibration $T_b$ retrieval details.

The goal of antenna pattern correction is to estimate the brightness temperature within the main beam of the antenna, $T_{b,mb}$, given measurements of $T_A$, measurements of $G$ (e.g., preflight characterization of the antenna), and estimates of the brightness temperature of sources outside the main beam (i.e., in the side-lobes). The integral in (4) can be broken into ranges of solid angle for the main beam and each of the side-lobe sources (1, 2, ...)

$$T_A = \int_{\Omega'} T_{b,mb} G(\Omega', \Omega) d\Omega' + \int_1 T_1 G(\Omega', \Omega) d\Omega'$$

$$+ \int_2 T_2 G(\Omega', \Omega) d\Omega' + \ldots$$ (5)

If the brightness of the sources in each range of solid angle is relatively uniform, (5) can be approximated with a sum of the form

$$T_A = T_{b,mb} * f_{mb} + T_1 * f_1 + T_2 * f_2 + \ldots$$ (6)

where the beam fractions $f_{mb,1,2,...}$ are integrals of the antenna gain $G$ over the corresponding range of solid angle. The sum of all beam fractions is one since $G$ is normalized given (4).

The edge-of-scan biases seen on WindSat are likely caused by obstructions emitting and scattering radiation into the feed horns’ fields of view. While a general side-lobe correction has been performed, the brightness temperatures used in this study have not been corrected for these obstructions. To perform this final correction, we break down the antenna temperature as an obstruction free and obstructed component in the same way we formulated the side-lobe contributions in (6)

$$T_A = T_{b,mb} * (1 - f_{obst}) + T_{b,obst} * f_{obst}$$ (7)

where $f_{obst}$ is the beam fraction of the obstructions and $T_{b,obst}$ is the effective brightness temperature of the obstructions. Estimating $T_{b,obst}$ and $f_{obst}$ requires observations of $T_A$ with known $T_{b,mb}$; these are taken from the vicarious cold and warm calibration data. From the vicarious calibration observations, $T_{b,mb}$ is estimated at the edge of scan from the center of scan vicarious brightness temperatures with corrections for instrument attitude offsets as described above. Since the beam patterns vary from channel to channel, separate estimates of $T_{b,obst}$ and $f_{obst}$ are made for each channel. It is assumed that $T_{b,obst}$ is the same for all edge-of-scan positions for a given channel and that only $f_{obst}$ varies with scan position. Results are shown in Fig. 7 and Table III. With these and (7), estimates of the obstruction-free main beam $T_b$'s can be made. This beam-spoiling correction is estimated from observations at either end of the dynamic range of observed $T_b$'s, i.e. from $T_b$'s ranging...
from the coldest observed to close to the warmest observed. The estimated corrections should, therefore, be accurate over a wide range of $T_b/\varepsilon$ between these two extremes.

Edge-of-scan biases have been noted on a number of conically scanning radiometers, including WindSat, and are believed to be due to scattering and/or emission from on-board sources such as the on-board hot load and cold sky reflector into the radiometer sidelobes [6], [14]. The 6.8 GHz and 23.8 GHz feedhorns on WindSat are the farthest from the center of the feedhorn bench, making them the most likely to show edge-of-scan interference from the on-board calibration loads (see Figs. 2 and 3 of [5]). They are also at opposite ends of the feedhorn bench which explains why the edge-of-scan interference for each is seen at opposite ends of the scan. The beam fractions of the interferers increase as the feedhorns draw nearer to the edge of scan as expected for an interferer at the edge of the scan. Since the V and H-pol beam patterns for a given feedhorn differ, the effective beam fraction and radiating temperature of the interferers for V and H-pol differ.

Biases as a function of scan position for corrected data, with both attitude offset and 6.8 and 23.8 GHz edge-of-scan interference corrections, are shown in Fig. 8. From these corrections, scan position biases are reduced as large as 8 K to less than 1 K for all scan positions and less than 0.4 K for all but the last few scan positions. Future ICWG investigations will focus on sources of and corrections for the remaining biases.

III. CONCLUSION

A method for end-to-end calibration of spaceborne radiometers using a combination of the vicarious cold and warm calibration techniques has been demonstrated using WindSat data. Using the method, brightness temperatures were corrected for attitude offsets as well as beam-spoiling due to interference from on-board sources near the radiometer edge-of-scan. The magnitude of the roll and pitch offset of the instrument as well as the beam fractions and effective radiating temperatures of the on-board obstructions were estimated.
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